

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 10-1.010	Organization, Methods of Operation and Requests for Information	Filed Dec. 29, 1975; Effective Jan. 8, 1976	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, some of the Division descriptions need updated.	Yes, this rule does overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-2.010	Definitions	Amended Filed April 19, 1989; Effective July 1, 1989	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-2.020	Privacy of Computer-accessible, Confidential Personal Information	Amended Filed April 19, 1989; Effective July 1, 1989	Yes, this rule is necessary, however, confidentiality is addressed in other applicable statutes and laws.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap duplicate or conflict with other rules, although the privacy of computer accessible confidential information has been extended by other statutes and applicable laws.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-3.010	Preapproval of Claims and Accounts: Definitions/Examples	Original Filed Aug. 15, 1994; Effective Jan. 29, 1995	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	Yes, this rule does overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules to the extent that it needs updated for electronic signature and purchasing cards.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	Yes, this rule could be modified to allow for electronic signature and purchasing cards.	No, this rule does not properly incorporate the material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-4.010	State of Missouri Vendor Payroll Deductions	Amended Filed Oct. 1, 2014; Effective March 30, 2015	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-5.010	Parking Regulations for the State Capitol Grounds	Amended Filed February 2, 1995; Effective July 30, 1996	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-7.010	Missouri Accountability Portal	Original Filed Sept. 30, 2013; Effective March 30, 2014	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 10-8.010	Direct Deposit of Payroll Requirements	Amended Filed May 14, 2007; Effective November 30, 2007	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	Yes, the rule could be modified to incorporate the use of payroll direct deposit for expense account reimbursements (excluding senators and representatives).	Yes, this rule properly incorporates the material by reference, but the reference to Form MO-300-1269N (11-02) needs to be removed or replaced and the web link needs to be updated.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 9.010	Requirements for Direct Deposit of Vendor Payments	Amended Filed Jan. 3, 2008; Effective July 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules, but needs to be updated to reflect vendor registration in the new e-procurement system.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	Yes, this rule could be modified to add a requirement that all vendors with \$100,000 or more in payments to them each year is required to have ACH direct deposit.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-10.010	ShareLeave for Foster and Adoptive Placement and Care	Original rule filed January 12, 2015; Effective July 30, 2015	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules but does seem to interrelate to 1 CSR 20-5.025 (ShareLeave).	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-11.010	State of Missouri Travel Regulations	Amended Filed Nov. 29, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	Yes, this rule overlaps with 1 CSR 10-11.030 (Vehicular Travel Regulations), and has some contradictions with state wide policy.	Yes, this rule should be modified to allow for electronic signatures. It could possibly be combined with 1 CSR 10-11.030.	Yes, this rule could be combined with 1 CSR 10-11.030. It should be modified to allow for electronic signatures.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-11.020	County Travel Regulations, Mileage Allowance	Amended Filed Nov. 29, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-11.030	State of Missouri Vehicular Travel Regulations	Amended Filed Nov. 29, 2007; Effective July 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	Yes, this rule overlaps with 1 CSR 10-11.010.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork, but this rule could potentially be combined with 1 CSR 10-11.010 (Travel Regulations).	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 10-12.011	State of Missouri- Social Security Manual	Amended Filed Aug. 12, 1985; Effective Nov. 12, 1985	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules; the rule is more restrictive than federal law.	Yes, the rule could be modified to require only those with FICA equivalent retirement plans to have an agreement instead of requiring every political subdivision to have an agreement.	Yes, the rule could be modified to require only political subdivisions with FICA equivalent retirement plans to have an agreement.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. This rule affects only the State's political subdivisions	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-13.010	Missouri Lottery Payment of Prizes	Original Filed Nov. 2, 1988; Effective Jan. 12, 1989	No, this rule is not necessary at this time.	Yes, this rule is obsolete.	Yes, this rule overlaps with sections 313.321 and 33.030, RSMo stating that the Commissioner shall approve payments.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-15.010	Cafeteria Plan	Amended Filed Sept. 15, 2015; Effective March 30, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-16.010	Convention and Sports Complex	Original Filed March 18, 1991; Effective September 30, 1991	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	Yes, this rule conflicts with statute. Not all complexes get \$2 million a year.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-17.010	Definitions	Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, an Amendment was filed on April 5, 2016 to better comply with Executive Order 15-06.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-17.040	Minority/Women's Business Enterprise Certification	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, an Amendment was filed on April 5, 2016 to better comply with Executive Order 15-06.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 10-17.050	Minority and Women's Business Enterprise Participation in Procurement Process	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, an Amendment was filed on April 5, 2016 to better comply with Executive Order 15-06.	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 10-18.010	Retirement Policy	Filed July 21, 2003; Effective Feb. 29, 2004	No, not at this time. This rule was adopted to facilitate a one-time retirement incentive. Although similar bills have been proposed, none have been passed since the original bill.	Yes, this rule is obsolete at this time	No, this rule does not overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-1.201	Organization	Amended Filed July 2, 2008; Effective Jan. 1, 2009	Yes. This rule sets forth the selection process, term, powers and duties of the presiding and managing commissioners. This rule complies with § 536.023, RSMo. Supp. 2013, which requires: "Each state agency shall adopt as a rule a description of its organization and general courses and methods of its operation and the methods and procedures whereby the public may obtain information or make submissions or requests." The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-1.204	General Operation	Amended Filed May 30, 2006; Effective Nov. 30, 2006	Yes, this rule also complies with § 536.023 as quoted above. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-1.207	Information, Submissions or Requests	Amended Filed July 2, 2008; Effective Jan. 1, 2009	Yes, this rule also complies with § 536.023, RSMo. Supp. 2013, because it sets forth the method and procedures to obtain information or make submissions or requests. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.200	Subject Matter	Amended Filed May 30, 2006; Effective Nov. 30, 2006	Yes, this rule sets forth the subject matter of these regulations and continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.210	Definitions	Amended Filed June 30, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2002	Yes, this rule sets out definitions of terms used in the AHC rules and continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 15-3.230	Computation of Time	Filed Aug. 5, 1991; Effective Feb. 6, 1992	Yes, this rule describes how time will be computed in cases before the AHC and continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.250	Practice by a Licensed Attorney; When Required	Amended Filed June 30, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2002	Yes, this rule describes when a licensed attorney is required in accordance with <i>Reed v. Labor and Indus. Relations Comm'n</i> , 789 S.W.2d 19 (mo. Banc 1990). It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.270	Service of Filings Other Than the Original Complaint	Filed Aug. 5, 1991; Effective Feb. 6, 1992	Yes, this rule describes procedures for service for filings other than the original complaint. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.290	Filing of Documents; Fax Filing; Posting Bond	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes procedures for filing by different methods and for posting a bond with the AHC. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork. If the document is faxed, the party need only send the original if the AHC orders it.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.320	Stay of Action under Review	Amended Filed July 2, 2008; Effective Jan. 1, 2009	Yes, this rule describes procedures for requesting a stay from an agency's actions. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.350	Complaints	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes the content of and procedures for filing a complaint and amended complaint. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.380	Answer and Other Responsive Pleadings	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes the content of and procedures for filing an answer and other responsive pleadings. It describes who is required to file a responsive pleading and sanctions for failure to file. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 15-3.390	Intervention	Amended Filed July 2, 2008; Effective Jan. 1, 2009	Yes, this rule describes who may intervene in a case and how to intervene. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.410	Closing of Case Records and Hearings	Amended Filed June 3, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2002	Yes, this rule describes the procedure for closing a record if there is legal authority to do so. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.420	Discovery	Amended Filed June 30, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2006	Yes, this rule authorizes discovery in the same manner as provided for by the Missouri Civil Rules. The rule also sets forth what must be filed with the AHC and how to compel discovery. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.425	Sanctions	Filed June 30, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2002	Yes, this rule describes sanctions that may result from failure to comply with an AHC order or rule, appear at hearing, or apprise the AHC of a current mailing address. It continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.431	Voluntary Dismissal, Settlement, and Consent Orders	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes the procedure for disposing of a case without a decision, including a motion to dismiss, settlement agreement, agreed settlement and consent order. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.436	Involuntary Dismissal	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule provides for motions to dismiss filed by someone other than the petitioner. An involuntary dismissal is defined as "a disposition, or recommended disposition, against petitioner that does not reach the merits of the complaint." The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 15-3.446	Decision on the Complaint without a Hearing	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule defines a decision without hearing as "a disposition, or recommended disposition, of the complaint on the merits." It includes a summary decision, a decision on the pleadings and consent order. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.470	Prehearing Conferences	Amended Filed May 30, 2006; Effective Nov. 30, 2006	Yes, this rule describes the setting and subject matter of a prehearing conference. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.480	Motions	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes ruling on motions filed and requests for oral argument. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.490	Hearings on Complaints; Default	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes procedures for setting of, continuance of, and order of proof in hearings. The rule is required by §§ 536.060 and 536.073.3, RSMo. 2000. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.500	Written Arguments	Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes procedures for filing written arguments after the hearing. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.510	Transcripts	Filed Aug. 5, 1991; Effective Feb. 6, 1992	Yes, this rule describes procedures for availability and correction of a transcript. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.530	Bench Rulings and Memorandum Decisions	Filed Aug. 5, 1991; Effective Feb. 6, 1992	Yes, this rule describes procedures for bench rulings and memorandum. These procedures are required by § 536.068.6, RSMo. 2000. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 15-3.560	Fees and Expenses	Amended Filed Aug. 30, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule describes procedures to allow parties to apply for fees and expenses. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 15-3.580	Certifications of Records	Amended Filed June 30, 2002; Effective Nov. 30, 2002	Yes, this rule describes procedures to certify records and the content of the record. The rule continues to be necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	N/A. The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-1.010	General Organization	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-1.020	Definitions	Amended Filed March 11, 1999; Effective Sept. 30, 1999	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-1.030	Personnel Rules	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-1.040	Merit System Service	Amended Filed Sept. 16, 2002; Effective March 30, 2003	Yes, this rule is necessary.	Overall, no, this rule is not obsolete. However, subsection (1) (A) probably needs to be updated to reflect changes in Chapter 36, RSMo pertaining to the agencies included in the Merit System. Subsection (2)(k) 2. could also be updated to clarify the nature of the term "year."	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-1.045	Uniform Classification and Pay Service	Amended Filed May 15, 1996; Effective Nov. 30, 1996	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 20-1.050	Records and Reports	Original Filed July 9, 1947; Effective July 19, 1947	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, since this rule has not been updated in some time, technology advances, open records laws and changes to the lag payroll system could be considered. (See 36.420, RSMo.)	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	Yes, this rule could be modified to reflect improvements in technology and information systems since that time.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-2.010	The Classification Plan	Amended Filed Feb. 25, 1992; Effective Aug. 6, 1992	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-2.015	Broad Classification Bands for Managers	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-2.020	The Pay Plan	Amended Filed May 15, 1996; Effective Nov. 30, 1996	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	Yes. In a few areas, this rule could be less restrictive, allowing agencies more flexibility in the area of pay administration.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.010	Examinations	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, subsection (10) related to veterans' preference may need to be clarified to mirror the Missouri State Constitutional requirement that the veteran "is a citizen of this state."	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.020	Registers	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, in subsection (2), the language "in good standing" as used in conjunction with layoff could be eliminated.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 20-3.030	Certification and Appointment	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete, however, subsections (3)(B), (3)(C), 3(D) may be obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.040	Probationary Period	Amended Filed March 11, 1999; Effective Sept. 30, 1999	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete, however, subsection (2)(G) is obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.050	Service Reports	Amended Filed Dec. 8, 1975; Effective Dec. 19, 1975	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, this rule has not been updated in quite some time and may not reflect the terminology best-suited to using a computer system for these purposes.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	Yes, rule can be modified to include information systems that could be used to reduce the need for paper.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.070	Separation, Suspension, and Demotion	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-3.080	General Provisions and Prohibitions	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. Subsection (4)(A) of this rule may need to be revised to encompass additional discrimination claims that may have resulted from changes to state or federal law, however, the language in the existing rule may be broad enough to allow for this.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 20-4.010	Appeals	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, revised language could be considered in several different places that would recognize that, in some cases, various notices that may be sent by the Division of Personnel could come in the form of e-mail. In these cases, an e-mail could be the means of communication that could lead to an appeal.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules. However, there could be some interplay between this rule and rules of the Administrative Hearing Commission related to appeals that the Commission hears.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-4.020	Grievance Procedures	Amended Filed Aug. 27, 2010; Effective Feb. 28, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-5.010	Hours of Work and Holidays	Amended Filed Sept. 16, 2002; Effective March 30, 2003	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, this rule could potentially be impacted by recent rule changes to the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules. However, there are some connections to federal law and federal regulations related to FLSA.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-5.015	Definitions of Terms	Amended Filed Sept. 11, 2013; Effective March 30, 2014	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-5.020	Leaves of Absence	Amended Filed Sept. 11, 2013; Effective March 30, 2014	Yes, this rule is necessary.	Yes, portions of this rule are obsolete. The specific language in Subsection (7) (B) has not been updated with the most recent changes to the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA).	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 20-5.025	ShareLeave	Amended Filed Sept. 15, 1999; Effective April 30, 2000	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules, but this rule may need to be reviewed in light of the establishment of 105.271 , RSMo and 1 CSR 10-10.010 related to shareleave for adoptive and foster care.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 20-6.010	Management Training	Amended Filed June 1, 2009; Effective Nov. 30, 2009	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, this rule could have a marginal impact on small business, however, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-2.020	Definitions	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, certain terminology could be updated to coincide with wording that is now used in practice.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-2.030	Assessment Program Planning	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-2.040	Budget Preparation	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-2.050	Budget Form Completion and Submission	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, a web address listed within the rule is outdated.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 30-3.010	Rule Objectives and Definitions	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.020	Project Definition and Fund Allocation	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.025	Methods of Management/Construction Procurement	Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.030	Project Design	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	No, the rule does not properly incorporate the material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.035	Project Selection/Bidding Methods	Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.040	Project Contracts and Work Completion	Rescinded and Readopted Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.050	Project Payments, Acceptance and Occupancy	Rescinded and Readopted Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-3.060	Determination of Contractor Responsibility	Rescinded and Readopted Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 30-4.010	Objectives and Definitions	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-4.020	Facility Management	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	No, the rule does not properly incorporate the material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-4.030	Maintenance Program Standards and Procedures	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-4.040	Facility Safety and Security	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Nov. 5, 2007; Effective June 30, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-5.010	Minority/Women Business Enterprise and Service Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise Participation in State Construction Contracts	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011.	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, an Amendment was filed on April 5, 2016 to better comply with Executive Order 15-06.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 30-7.010	Drug and Alcohol Testing Program Requirements	Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Dec. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-1.050	Public Use of State Facilities	Amended: filed Oct. 27, 2005; Effective April 30, 2006	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-2.010	Rule Objectives	Filed April 15, 1998; Effective Nov. 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 35-2.020	Definitions	Filed April 15, 1998, Effective Nov. 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-2.030	Administration of the Leasing Process	Amended: filed Oct. 27, 2005; Effective April 30, 2006	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-2.040	Lease Acquisition	Filed April 15, 1998; Effective Nov. 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-2.050	Management of Leased Real Property	Filed April 15, 1998; Effective Nov. 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, the name of the Division has changed to Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 35-2.060	Leases of Excess Property to Governmental and Private Entities	Filed April 15, 1998; Effective Nov. 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, the name of the Division has changed to Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. The rule does not incorporate other material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 40-1.010	Organization	Amended Filed March 24, 2000; Effective Oct. 30, 2000.	Yes, this rule is necessary as it sets out the general duties and organization of the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, the name of the Division changed to Division of Purchasing in 2015, and some of the duties under subsection (2) have been transferred.	Yes, this rule overlaps slightly with the rules on general procurement, 1 CSR 40-1.050, as this rule sets out the general duties and organization of the Division of Purchasing and Materials Management.	No. The rule recites the statutory requirements.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	N/A, this rule has no direct impact on small businesses.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 40-1.030	Definitions	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov, 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary as it defines the terms used throughout the other purchasing regulations.	No, this rule is not obsolete, but the rule needs to be modified to amend the definition of Director and Division in (1)(E)(F).	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, the definitions of terms used in the regulations are needed.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	N/A, the rule does not incorporate any material by reference.	N/A, this rule does not directly affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 40-1.040	Authority Delegation	Rescinded and Readopted Filed Oct. 20, 1997; Effective May 30, 1998	Yes, this rule is necessary and Section 34.100 provides the ability for such delegations	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	N/A, the rule does not incorporate any material by reference.	N/A, this rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 40-1.050	Procedures for Solicitation, Receipts of Bids, and Award and Administration of Contracts	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete. However, an Amendment was filed on April 5, 2016 to better comply with Executive Order 15-06.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	Yes, the public purpose or interest justifies continued existence of the rule.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 40-1.060	Vendor Registration, Notification of Bidding Opportunities, Suspension and Disbarment	Amended Filed June 1, 2011; Effective Nov. 30, 2011	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A, this rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 40-1.090	Waiver of Procedures Contained in Chapter 34, RSMo, Related to Cost and Pricing	Filed Nov. 26, 2002; Effective May 30, 2003	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork as the rule tracks the statute.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	N/A, this rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-1.010	General Organization	Amended Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.015	Initiation of Enforcement Case	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	Yes, this rule properly incorporates material by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.020	Pleadings	Amended Filed Sept, 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 50-2.030	Ex Parte Communications	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.040	Prehearing Conferences	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.050	Prehearing Discovery	Filed March 24, 1997; Effective Sept., 30, 1997	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.060	Subpoenas and Subpoenas Duces Tecum	Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.070	Continuances	Amended Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.075	Motions	Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb., 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.080	Conduct of the Hearing	Amended Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.090	Evidence	Amended Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 50-2.100	The Record at the Hearing	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.110	Stipulation	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.120	Default	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.130	Post-Hearing Procedure	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.140	Orders	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.150	Certification of Record	Amended Filed Sept. 4, 2007; Effective Feb. 29, 2008	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-2.160	Separability, Amendments and Availability of Rules	Filed March 24, 1997; Effective Sept. 30, 1997	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.

Rule Number	Rule Title	Date of Adoption or Last Amendment	Is rule necessary?	Is rule obsolete?	Does rule overlap, duplicate or conflict with other rules?	Can a less restrictive rule accomplish same purpose?	Can rule be modified to reduce regulatory burden or eliminate paperwork?	Does the rule properly incorporate material by reference?	For rules affecting small business: does the public purpose or interest for adopting justify continued existence of rule?	Appendix included? (For rules receiving public comment)
1 CSR 50-3.010	Late Fee	Amended Filed Feb. 27, 2015; Effective Aug. 30, 2015	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.
1 CSR 50-4.010	Representation by a Licensed Attorney; When Required	Amended Filed Sept. 1, 2015; Effective Feb. 29, 2016	Yes, this rule is necessary.	No, this rule is not obsolete.	No, the rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with any other rules.	No, there is not a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored or alternate rule that would suffice.	No, this rule does not need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens or eliminate unnecessary paperwork.	N/A. No reference to material incorporated by reference.	The rule does not affect small business.	N/A. No public comments received.